

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,700	03/04/2004		Toshihiko Kobata	086142-0645	5117
22428	7590	01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN	ID LARI	ONER LLP	DAVIS, OCTAVIA L		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				2855	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- <u></u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/791,700	KOBATA, TOSHIHIK	o ku				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Octavia Davis	2855					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ga(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	ety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/1/	<i>'</i> 05.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
Disposition of Claims			•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-5 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on $3/4/04$ is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,700

Art Unit: 2855

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of Kobata (6,943,695).

Regarding claim 1, although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims include an arm, a load sensor supported by the arm, a seat rail, a base bracket and a load bearing portion or load support mechanism but does not include a base frame. However, in Kobata, the base constitutes a base frame.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2855

invention was made to incorporate the base frame for the purpose of, providing a base frame for bearing the load applied to the vehicle seat.

Regarding claim 3, the base bracket 81 overlies the base frame 21 (See claim 1 of Kobata).

Regarding claim 4, the base bracket 81 is positioned between the base frame 21 and the seat rail 8 (See claim 1 of Kobata).

Regarding claim 5, the base bracket 81 is positioned to overlie a rear end of the base frame 21 (See claim 1 of Kobata).

Regarding claim 7, a bolt 24 extends transversely through the base frame 21 and the base bracket 81 (See claim 3 of Kobata).

Regarding claim 8, the base bracket 81 includes holes 81C for receiving the bolt 24 (See claim 1 of Kobata et al).

Regarding claim 9, the base frame 21 includes slots 32 for receiving the bolt 24 (See claim 1 of Kobata).

Regarding claim 10, portions of the base frame 21 adjacent to the slot 32 and portions of the base bracket 81 adjacent to the holes 81C are configured to support a heavy load applied to the seat during a vehicle collision (See claim 1 of Kobata).

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,700

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to these claims have been considered but are moot in 4.

view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 5.

should be directed to Octavia Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-2176. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon - Thurs from 9 to 5. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

stana / amis

OD/2855

12/29/05

Page 4